

AGRICONGRESS 2004

AGRICULTURE CONGRESS 2004

"INNOVATION TOWARDS MODERNIZED AGRICULTURE"

Organized by

UPM
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
www.agri.upm.edu.my/congress
MS ISO 9001:2000

In Collaboration with

Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-based Industry

FAMA
Federal Agricultural Marketing Authority

MIECC
Malaysia International Exhibition & Convention Centre

ISSAAS
International Society for Southeast Asian Agricultural Sciences

IPGRI
International Plant Genetic Resources Institute

Book of Abstracts

Agriculture Congress 2004:

Innovation Towards Modernized Agriculture

October 4-7, 2004
Malaysia International Exhibition and Convention Centre (MIECC)
Sri Kembangan, Selangor, Malaysia

Organized by

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia

in Collaboration with

Ministry of Agriculture and Agro-Based Industry

FAMA
Federal Agricultural Marketing Authority (FAMA)

MIECC
Malaysia International Exhibition and Convention Centre (MIECC)

International Society for Southeast Asian Agricultural Sciences (ISSAAS)

IPGRI
International Plant Genetic Resources Institute (IPGRI)

**FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE,
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA 2004**

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted, in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording or otherwise without the prior written permission of the copyright owner.

Published by
FACULTY OF AGRICULTURE,
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA
43400 SERDANG
SELANGOR, MALAYSIA

Perpustakaan Negara Malaysia Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Agriculture Congress – Innovation Towards Modernized Agriculture (2004: Sri Kembangan)
Book of Abstracts of AGRICONGRESS 2004,
Malaysia International Exhibition and Convention Centre (MIECC), Selangor, Malaysia, Oct. 4-7, 2004
Edited by : Zulkifli H. Shamsuddin *et al.*, 2004
ISBN 983-3280-00-5

Printed by:
Izshen Associate Sdn. Bhd.

DO-4

ENERGY CONSUMPTION IN A LOWLAND RICE PRODUCTION SYSTEM OF MALAYSIA

[1]Bockari-Gevao S.M., W.I. Wan Ishak[1], Y. Azmi[1], C.B.S. Teh[2] and C.W. Chan[3]

ABSTRACT

Sufficient energy is needed in the right form and at the right time for adequate crop production. One way to optimize energy consumption in agriculture is to determine the efficiency of methods and techniques used. With the current increase in world population, energy consumption needs effective planning. That is, the input elements need to be identified in order to prescribe the most efficient methods for controlling them. This study was undertaken in order to determine the direct and indirect energy consumption of field operations in a lowland rice production system of Malaysia. Field time, fuel and other energy requirements were measured for the tillage, planting, fertilizing, spraying and harvesting operations performed. Energy analysis carried out revealed the highest average energy consumption was for tillage (1747.33 MJ ha^{-1}) which accounted for about 48.6% of the total operational energy consumption (3595.87 MJ ha^{-1}), followed by harvesting (1171.44 MJ ha^{-1}, 32.6%) and planting (562.91 MJ ha^{-1}, 15.7%). Fertilizing and pesticide spraying did not make any significant contributions to the operational energy consumption. Based on energy sources, fuel was the main consumer of direct energy with 2717.82 MJha^{-1} (22.2%), and fertilizer recording the highest indirect energy consumption of 7721.03 MJha^{-1} (63.2%). Human labor, pesticides, seeds and indirect energy for machinery use had marginal importance, contributing only 0.2%, 0.6%, 6.8% and 6.9%, respectively to the total energy consumption (12225.97 MJha^{-1}). Average grain yield was 6470.8 kg ha^{-1}, representing energy output of 108321.75 MJha^{-1}, that is, 96095.78 MJ net energy yield or 8.86 MJ output per MJ input. Energy input per kilogram grain yield was 1.89 MJkg^{-1}. The results of the study indicate energy gain in the lowland rice production system of Malaysia.

INTRODUCTION

Agricultural productivity cannot hope to increase unless adequate inputs such as power, improved seeds, fertilizers and irrigation water are available in a timely manner and applied judiciously. With the current increase in world population, energy consumption needs effective planning. That is, the input elements need to be identified in order to prescribe the most efficient methods for controlling them. Crop yields and food supplies to consumers are directly linked to energy, which means sufficient energy is needed in the right form at the right time for adequate crop production. One way to optimize energy consumption in agriculture is to determine the efficiency of methods and techniques used (Kitani, 1999; Safa and Tabatabaeefar, 2002). Crop-yield is directly proportional to the energy input (Srivastava, 1982). Fuel and fertilizers (N and P) account for the largest share (>75%) of all energy expenditures in a mixed cropping system (Hetz, 1992; Ahmad, 1994; Safa and Tabatabaeefar, 2002). Fluck and Baird (1980) hypothesized that the highest partial energy productivity is achieved at the point of minimum mechanization energy inputs and increasing mechanization energy increase crop yield at a decreasing rate.

To adequately evaluate crop production energy requirements and be able to choose alternative crop production systems, energy data need to be collected for machinery and soils of major crop production systems. For instance, in Malaysia, the only available tillage energy data is currently limited to

[1] Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia
Tel: +60-3-163242240, Email: smbgevao@yahoo.co.uk

[2] Department of Land Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 UPM, Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia

[3] Mechanization and Automation Division, Malaysian Agricultural Research and Development Institute (MARDI), GPO.Box 12301, 55774, Kuala Lumpur.

upland soils under cash crops such as rubber and oil palm. Field studies need to be conducted in paddy soils to enable the compilation of a more thorough tillage energy database. Energy requirements of various crop production systems can then be determined and compared. Field operating energy data is also needed for fertilizer, lime and pesticide applicators and for trans-planters and harvesters. This study was therefore undertaken in order to establish an initial data bank of field operating energy involved in a lowland rice production system of Malaysia. The specific objectives were:

1. To determine the energy consumption in the rice production system based on field operations and the direct and indirect energy sources.
2. To determine the overall energy efficiency of the lowland rice production system.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present research work was undertaken at the Sungai Burong Compartment of the Tanjong Karang Rice Irrigation Scheme in the Northwest Selangor Integrated Agricultural Development Project. Tillage operations were carried out with an 80"-rotavator (for first rotavation) and a 110"-rotavator (for second rotavation and third rotavation), attached to a FIAT 640 diesel tractor, having a maximum PTO power of 41.39 kW and operating at 2400 rpm under standard conditions. A 6-row Kubota rice transplanter SPA65 was used to transplant the 16-day old seedlings of rice variety MR 219. Field time, fuel, and other energy requirements were measured for all field operations performed on twelve experimental plots. The number and duration of operations, the seed, fertilizer and pesticide rates, and the amount of human labour involved in each operation were investigated through field measurements. For each operation by a self-propelled machine used, fuel consumption was measured by filling the machine's fuel tank twice, before and after each operation and the difference recorded (Alcock, 1986; Nielsen and Luoma, 2000). A knapsack-powered blower was used to apply fertilizer and pesticides. All the experimental plots were fertilized at the same levels in order to reduce the significance of differential fertility on crop yield. The amount of each fertilizer and pesticide (herbicide, insecticide and fungicide) used for weed, insect and disease control were recorded for the determination of the fertilizer and chemical energy inputs in the production process. Supplemental irrigation water was pumped into the field before commencement of the third rotavation.

Computation of Parameters

Energy analysis was performed based on field operations (tillage, planting, fertilizing, spraying and harvesting) as well as on the direct (fuel and human labour) and indirect (machinery, fertilizer, pesticide, and seed) energy sources involved in the production process. The irrigation energy expenditure during the land preparation stage was not included in the energy analysis since the supplemental irrigation water application was only situational; it is not a common practice among the rice growers in the study area. The rice farmers rely totally on irrigation supply by gravity flow from the central water distribution system under the management of the Department of Irrigation and Drainage of Malaysia.

The direct energy use per hectare for each field operation was computed by the following equation (Moerschner and Gerowitt, 2000):

$$ED = h \times AFU \times PEU \times RU \quad [1]$$

where:
ED = Direct energy use (fuel) for a specific field operation, MJ ha^{-1}.
h = Specific working hours per run, h ha^{-1}
AFU = Average fuel use per working hour, L h^{-1}
PEU = Specific energy use per litre of fuel, MJ L^{-1}
RU = Runs, number of applications in the considered field operation.

The energy contribution of machinery for each field operation was determined by the following equation:

$$EID = \frac{TW \times CED}{UL} \times h \times RU \quad [2]$$

EID = Indirect energy for machinery use for a specific field operation, MJ ha^{-1}
TW = Total weight of the specific machine, kg.
CED = Cumulative energy demand for machinery, MJ kg^{-1}
UL = Assumed total use of machinery in lifetime, h

h = Specific working hours per run, h ha^{-1}
RU = Runs, number of applications in the considered field operation.

The indirect energy per unit area for other production inputs such as fertilizer, pesticides and seed was expressed as:

$$EID = RATE \times MATENF \quad [3]$$

where:
EID = indirect energy input, MJ ha^{-1}
RATE = application rate of input, kg ha^{-1}
MATENF = energy factor of material used, MJ kg^{-1}

The rate of labour use in the rice production process was determined for each operation. The labor energy input (MJ ha^{-1}) at every stage in the production process was estimated by the following equation:

$$LABEN = \frac{LABOUR \times TIME}{AREA} \times LABENF \quad [4]$$

where:
LABEN = labor energy, MJ ha^{-1}
LABOR = number of working laborers
TIME = operating time, h
AREA = operating area, ha
LABENF = labor energy factor, MJ h^{-1}

The total energy consumption (E) in the rice production process was the summation of energy inputs at the various stages, expressed in terms of MJ. The energy input intensity (*e*) was then determined by the following relation:

$$e = \frac{E}{A} \quad [5]$$

where:
e = energy input intensity, MJ ha^{-1}
E = total energy consumption, MJ
A = the effective production area, ha.

The energy output intensity (e_0) was derived by multiplying the production intensity (s) by the energy coefficient of seed (B_s):

$$e_0 = B_s \times s \quad [6]$$

where:
e_0 = energy output intensity, MJ ha^{-1}
Bs = energy coefficient of seed, MJ kg^{-1}
s = production intensity, kg ha^{-1}

The overall energy ratio (OER) was then determined as the ratio of the energy output intensity to the energy input intensity in the lowland rice production system. It is assumed that, if the OER is greater than 1, then the production system is gaining energy, otherwise it is loosing energy.

$$OER = \frac{e_0}{e} \quad [7]$$

where:
OER = overall energy ratio, dimensionless
e_o = energy output intensity, MJ ha^{-1}
e = energy input intensity, MJ ha^{-1}

In this study, an average energy value of 109 MJ kg^{-1} of weight of machinery was used to represent the embodied energy in a piece of equipment (Pimentel, 1992, cited by Intaravichai, 1998). This number includes 62.8 MJ kg^{-1} for steel production; 8.4 MJ kg^{-1} for the fabrication of parts and assembly; and 37.7 MJ kg^{-1} for repairs and maintenance (Fluck, 1985). All practices requiring fossil fuel were evaluated with diesel and petrol as the energy sources. The energy associated with fuel use were 47.8 MJ L^{-1} and 46.3 MJL^{-1} for diesel and petrol fuels, respectively (Safa and Tabatabaeefar, 2002), which includes estimates for engine oil, grease, manufacture and transportation to the farm (Bridges and Smith, 1979). The human energy required to perform any operation or practice is based on the number of labourers required to perform the operation and the field capacity of the machine. For this study, the labour input in terms of energy was evaluated at 1.96 MJh^{-1} (Safa and Tabatabaeefar, 2002). One person was involved in operating each self-propelled machine or manually-operated engine powered equipment used, and that person was assumed to work as many hours as the machine is used. The energy equivalents for nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium were assumed to be 61.53, 12.56 and 6.70 $MJkg^{-1}$, respectively

(Pimentel and Pimentel, 1979), which are the energy requirements for producing and transporting commercial fertilizers. The average energy inputs for the production of the active ingredients of herbicides, insecticides and fungicides were assumed to be 255, 185 and 97 MJkg^{-1}, respectively (Anon, 2004). An average energy coefficient for seeds of 16.74 MJ kg^{-1} was used (Rutger and Grant, 1980).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Operational Energy Consumption Based on Field Operations

The operational energy consumption in the lowland rice production system was computed for the following field operations: tillage, planting, fertilizing, spraying and harvesting. Operational energy refers to the energy used for mechanization, i.e. direct energy (fuel and human labour) and the indirect energy for machinery use. The irrigation energy expenditure during land preparation was not included in the energy analysis because the pumping of water at this stage was only situational; it is not a common practice among farmers in the study area.

As can be observed from Table 1, the average operational energy consumption was highest for tillage (1747.33 MJ ha^{-1}) which accounted for about 48.6% of the total operational energy consumption (3595.87MJ ha^{-1}), followed by harvesting (1171.44 MJ ha^{-1}, 32.6%) and planting (562.91 MJ ha^{-1}, 15.7%). Fertilizing and pesticide spraying did not make any significant contributions to the operational energy consumption. Figure 1 illustrates the operational energy consumption based on the field operations in the off-season and main season. T-test analysis showed that there were no significant differences among the tillage energy, fertilizing energy and harvesting energy in the off-season and main season. The differences among the planting energy and spraying energy in the two seasons were, however, significant ($p<0.05$).



Figure 1. Comparison of Operational Energy Consumption in the Off-Season and Main Season

Total Energy Consumption Based On Energy Sources

The average total energy inputs in the off- and main cropping seasons add to 12225.97 MJha^{-1}. Based on energy sources, fuel was the main consumer of direct energy with 2717.82 MJha^{-1} (22.2%), and fertilizer recording the highest indirect energy consumption of 7721.03 MJha^{-1} (63.2%), as shown in Table 2. Human labor, pesticides, seeds and indirect energy for machinery use had marginal importance, contributing only 0.2%, 0.6%, 6.8% and 6.9%, respectively to the total energy consumption.

Table 1. Operational Energy Consumption per Hectare of Land Cultivated Distributed by Field Operations

Field Operation	Treatment mean Off-Season	Main Season	Average
Tillage	1756.73^a	1737.92^a	1747.33^a
Planting	485.26^c	640.56^c	562.91^c
Fertilizing	28.61^d	29.32^e	28.96^d
Spraying	25.80^d	144.66^d	85.23^d
Harvesting	1171.31^b	1171.57^b	1171.44^b
Overall Mean	693.54	744.81	719.18
R^2	0.97	1.00	0.99
CV %	19.4	0.0	18.4

Values in a given column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level.

Table 2. Total Energy Consumption per Hectare of Land Cultivated Distributed by Energy Sources

Energy Source	Treatment mean		
	Off-Season	Main Season	Average
Direct Energy:			
Fuel	2589.30[b]	2846.35[b]	2717.82[b]
Human	24.38[d]	33.43[d]	28.91[d]
Indirect Energy:			
Machinery	854.04[c]	843.85[c]	848.95[c]
Seed	837.00[c]	837.00[c]	837.00[c]
Fertilizer	7721.03[a]	7721.03[a]	7721.03[a]
Pesticide	15.43[d]	129.11[d]	72.27[d]
Overall Mean	2006.86	2068.46	2037.66
R^2	1.00	1.00	1.00
CV %	5.2	8.6	4.1

Values in a given column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at the 0.05 level.
The total direct and indirect energy consumption in the off-season and main season distributed by energy sources is illustrated in Figure 2.



Figure 2. Comparison of Energy Consumption by Energy Sources

Overall Energy Ratio and Net Energy Gain

The overall energy ratio (OER) was determined as the ratio of output energy to input energy involved in the lowland rice production system. It is assumed that, if the OER is greater than 1, then the production system is gaining energy, otherwise it is loosing energy. Average grain yield was 6470.8 kg ha^{-1}, representing energy output of 108321.75 MJha^{-1}, that is, 96095.78 MJ net energy yield or 8.86 MJ output per MJ input. Energy input per kilogram grain yield was 1.89 MJkg^{-1}.

CONCLUSIONS

The production energy indicators were evaluated using field data collected during the 2003 off- and main cropping seasons. The indicators included measures of total energy use per unit of effective cropping area (energy intensity) and per unit of rice seed production. For international comparison, a measure of energy conversion efficiency in terms of the overall energy ratio (energy output per unit energy input) was included. Since the goal of the study was to consider total energy inputs as an indicator of sustainability, it was necessary to include the energy requirements to manufacture and transport consumable items such as fertilizer and pesticides as indirect energy inputs. The indirect energy associated with agricultural machinery use was also considered as an important aspect of mechanization. However, the energy inputs associated with the manufacture of capital items such as vehicles for transportation and other farm improvements were not included in the present study. Since different international studies use different indicators, all the results are presented here to aid comparison. Probably, only the limited set described above is required to specify the energy performance of a lowland rice farm.

REFERENCES

Ahmad, B. 1994. Energetics of Major Crops in Mixed Cropping System. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America,* **25(3)**:52-54.

Alcock, R. 1986. Tractor-Implement Systems. AVI Publishing Co., Inc. Westport, Connecticut.

Anon 2004. Appendix C: Units, Equivalents and Energy Constants. Cooperative Extension Service, Institute of Food and Agricultural Sciences, University of Florida. http://edis.ifas.ufl.edu/BODY_EH015. Accessed 1 March 2004.

Bridges, T.C. and E.M. Smith. 1979. A Method for Determining the Total Energy Input for Agricultural Practices. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 781-784.

Fluck, R.C. 1985. Energy Sequestered in Repairs and Maintenance of Agricultural Machinery. *Transactions of the ASAE*, **28**:738-744.

Fluck, R.C. and C.D. Baird. 1980. Agricultural Energetics. AVI Publishing Company Inc., West Port, Connecticut.

Hetz, Edmundo, J. 1992. Energy Utilization in Chilean Agriculture. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America* **23(2)**:25-6.

Intaravichai, P. 1998. Energy Analysis in Mechanised Rice Production System: Specifically for Central Thailand. PhD dissertation. Universiti Putra Malaysia. Malaysia.

Kitani, O. 1999. *CIGR Handbook of Agricultural Engineering*, Volume V – Energy and Biomass Engineering. ASAE Publication.

Moerschner, J. and B. Gerowitt. 2000. Direct and indirect energy use in arable farming – An example on winter wheat in Northern Germany. *In*: Weidema, B.P. and M.J.G Meeusen (Eds.), *Agricultural Data for Life Cycle Assessments*. The Hague, Agricultural Economics Research Institute (LEI). Report 2.00.01, Volume 1. February 2000. 195p.

Neilsen, V. and T. Luoma. 2000. Energy consumption: Overview of data foundation and extract of results. *In*: Weidema, B.P. and M.J.G Meeusen (Eds.), *Agricultural Data for Life Cycle Assessments*. The Hague, Agricultural Economics Research Institute (LEI). Report 2.00.01, Volume 1. February 2000.195 p.

Pimentel, D. 1992. Energy Inputs in Production Agriculture. *In* R.C. Fluck, ed. *Energy in Farm Production*. The Netherlands: Elsevier Science Publishers B.V.

Pimentel, D. and M. Pimentel. 1979. Food, Energy and society. Resource and environmental Science Series, Edward Arnold, London.

Rutger, J.N., and W.R. Grant. 1980. *In* D. Pimentel, ed. *Handbook of Energy Utilization in Agriculture*. CRC Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida. 475p.

Safa, M. and A. Tabatabaeefar. 2002. Energy Consumption in Wheat Production in Irrigated and Dry Land Farming. In: Proceedings of the International Agricultural Engineering Conference, *Wuxi, China*, November 28-30, 2002.

Srivastava, A.C. 1982. A Comparative Study of Conventional and Mechanized Farming Relative to Energy Use and Cost. *Agricultural Mechanization in Asia, Africa and Latin America*. Spring 1982. p 42-46.